THE GRAVEL ROAD

Facts Concerning the London & Port Stanley Road.

Oa the 26th of September, 1850, an order was passed by the Executive Council and approved by His Excellency the Governor-Gene at the same day, transferring the London and Port Stanley gravel road between London and Port Stanley, and that between London and the boundary like of the county of Oxford and Delaware bridge to the county of Middlesox for the sum of £4,500, payable in debentures at ten years date, bearing 5 per cent. Interest, This vested the right of the road in the county of Middlesox.

In 1853, after the division of the courties, a meeting was held by a joint committee to adjust the deb between the two counties. Preparatory to the dissolution, a resolution was carried that in apportioning the cost of the county read between 10 ondon and Port Stanley between the two crunities, the original cost of and repairs to the read up to January, 18.5, be divided according to the number of miles in each county, and alto in apportioning the tolls between the respective counties that each be oredited with the amount of toll received at the different gates in the county. The total amount prid by the county of Eigin to the county of Middlesex, as per this agreement, was the sum of £ \(\theta\) 0 ; of this \$8,220 was for that part of the gravel road within the county

During the years 1853, 1854, 1355 and 1856 the county retrined possession of the road, and collected \$3,459 toll, and expended in repairs, etc. £5,015, leaving a deficit of £1,556, or \$6,224. This, a ded to the amount paid to the county of Middlesex, shows a balance as against the road of \$1,444.
On the 16r day of Feb uary, in the year 1857, the county council leas d all of the roads,

On the 16'n day of Feb uary, in the year 1857, the county council leas d all of the roads, bridges, culverts, toll houses, toll barns, and all rights and privileges and appurtenances of the same to Robert Hepburn, of the township of Yarmouth, for 189 years from the said date, for the sum of £1010, or \$16, '40, by pable during twenty years, with interest at 5 per cent. The last payment was made on the 23rd day of January, 1876; the total payments, principal and interest, amounted to \$25,180.

About the year 1875 or 1876 some memb 18 of the county council ascertained that the county of Middlesex did not pay to the Dominion Government the amount provided for in orderin council above r ferred 10, and believi g that, as the county of Middlesex had not paid for the road, the y shouls refund to the county of Eigin the amount charged therefore in said settlement of debt at t e dissolution of the counties. Con iderable correspond noe was entered into b tween the wo counties, and on one occasion a meeting of joint committees was he d, and the county of Rigin agreed to accept the principal su n of \$8,220 in settlement of their claim agaiast Middlesex. The Middle sex committee reported that th y were not in favor of refus dirg the money, that they were still liable to the Government, and would pay over the amount when called upon by the Government. The natter was brought before the Local House by Mr. Hodgins, then member for West Elgin, and an investigation made as to the exact position of the account the Government and the county of Middles x, but owing to the change that arose by the Confederation of the Pr vinces and the transfer of the documents relating to each province, ray of the documents retaining to each province, particulars in reference to the accounts were not forthcoming. The county council, at a later date, consulted the late James Bethune, Q [O., of Toronto, who advised them that the

county of Eigin had no claim agains' the county of Middlesex, that the county of Middlesex might be called upon to pay the amount at any time. This ended the dispute between the two counties in reference to the amount paid for the London and Port Stanley gravel road.

road.

Various committees have been appointed from time to time to consider the advisability of purchasing the lease of the gravel road from the lesses, and removing the oil, and any the june assign of the county council the report of a special committee appointed to exquire into the advisability of purchasing the London and Port Stanley gravel road was presented, amended in committee of the whole and adopted as follows: "That this council pay one half the purchase money for the London and Port Stanley gravel road; providing the nunicipalities of Yarmouth and Southwoold and the city of St. Toomas make up the other half, and provided the road can be bought at a reasonable price, not to exceed \$14,600, and that the atter te laid over untit the November session to give the representatives of the interest d municipalities time to confer with their constituents and with the owners of the road, and that Bayham pay one third and the county the balance of the cost of their road.

In looking through an inicx of the statutes for any possible legislation effecting this road, I find that on the light of May, 1863, an act was passed to continue in the corporation of the county of Eigin the management and control of that portion of the London and Port Stanley road lying within the limits of the lotty of St. Thomas, so coat it is possible that if the tolis are removed without an express agreement from the city of St. Thomas in reference to the maintenance of their share of the roads and bridges with n the corporation, the same as if the act had not been passed, the county might still be required to wholly maintain said toads and bridges.

Statement showing the position of the gravel road account considering compound interest on the re-cipus and payments from the year 1857 up to and including the year 1891.

This calculated at 5 per cent. compound interest for 34 yea.s, 13.7 to 1891, amounts to

Amount to be paid under lease \$16,040.

This calculated at 5 per cent. compound interest for 34 years, 1857 to 1891, amounte to \$84,210.

Leaving a balance in favor of the road of \$8,378, which represents the amount with interest added that the county has received from the road. This, of course, should be credited to the different municipalities each year in same proportion as the paid county rates.

The average proportions paid by the townships of Yarmouth and Southwold during said \$4 years is about three-eights of whole county rate so that these townships have received \$3,141 of amount to credit of the road account, leaving a balance of \$5,238 divided among the remaining municipalities.

K. W. MCKAY.

County Clerk.

St. Thomas, Aug 13, 1892.